

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/934,192		MATSUMOTO, SHIGEKI	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	BARBARA N. BURGESS		2457	

All Participants:

(1) BARBARA N. BURGESS.

(2) Russell Blaise.

Date of Interview: 22 September 2010

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

1, 5, 9-10

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: After-Final

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: Afternoon

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's representative Russell Blaise to discuss proposed amendments to place the application into condition for allowance. Examiner discussed that all limitations from cancelled claim 3 need to be placed into independent claims 1, 5, 9-10. Mr. Blaise agreed to this proposal and gave permission for Examiner to perform an Examiner's Amendment according to amendments discussed. The Examiner's Amendment is submitted herewith..